

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS . P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,427	02/15/2002	Sam M. Jyawook	67,064-001	3582
26096 7.	590 04/12/2004		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			VO, HAI	
SUITE 350	400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	M, MI 48009		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2004	1

. . .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/077,427	JYAWOOK ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 15-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
3. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	Quare	den Ole				
	ELIZABET PRIMARY	HM. COLE EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit has been entered and carefully reviewed. However, it does not place the instant claims in condition for allowance. To establish commercial success, Applicant bears the burden of showing that the commercial success is derived from the claimed invention. The commercial success must be shown to be directly derived from the invention claimed, "in a marketplace wherein the consumer is free to choose on the basis of objective principles and it must be shown "that such success is not the result of heavy promotion or advertising, shift in advertising, consumption by purchasers normally tied to applicant or assignee, or other business events extraneous to the merits of the claimed invention." MPEP 716.03(b). The Applicant bears the burden of supporting the contention of nonobviousness by establishing a nexus between the claimed invention and evidence of commercial success. Also, the evidence provided must be commensurate in scope with the claims. Gross sales figures do not show commercial success absent evidence as to market share, or the time period during which the product was sold, or the normally expected sales in the market. Alternatively, to overcome the art rejections, Applicant should provide evidence or affidavit to demonstrate that differences in the processing steps as recited in the claims would lead to differences in the final structure of the product between the present invention and the Hendrix reference.